

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,231	02/01/2001	Han-Sin Lee	SAM-167	9048
	90 02/03/2003			
MILLS & ONELLO, LLP			EXAMINER	
ELEVEN BEACON STREET SUITE 605 BOSTON, MA 02108			POMPEY, RO	N EVERETT
BOSTON, MA	02108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9	Application N .	Applicant(s)	M
Advisory Action	09/775,231	LEE ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ron E Pompey	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o		
THE REPLY FILED 26 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	E THIS APPLICATION IN CON roid abandonment of this applica	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of ti (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CI	rextension and the corresponding amoing as the corresponding amoing a	unt of the fee. The appropriate extens originally set in the final Office action; ing date of the final rejection, even if	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further		ee NOTE below);	
(b) Y they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or			е
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	n(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed amendment	t
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reapplication in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid 	ered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amendment (s).	e) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[Id be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2 and 4-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-: // ,	
10. Other:		FICHARDSCOTT	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added limitations of "the insulating layer being densified durign formation of the HTO layer" requires further search and consideration.